

I4-P | Allegations, submitted exhibits and agency narrative

Selected filed records are kept distinct from internal advocacy drafts. No newly recovered native Augusto Family October 24 thread matches these screenshots; sender and content comparisons below use the actual submitted exhibits. Pixel manipulation, completeness of the whole thread, actual fear and knowingly false sworn testimony are not established. Exact unfavorable language remains.

Initial petition and supporting declaration

The October 21 petition p.7 alleges a September 14 drive past the new residence and an October 10 uninvited birthday appearance. p.8 alleges February quitclaim coercion and fear of retaliation over a pending default notice. The supporting declaration p.2 says the prior protection action was withdrawn after counsel's cease-and-desist communication; its exhibits include the July demand for the Uplands PSA. These are allegations and the petitioners' account, not adjudicated facts. The July22 counsel email in his November4 filed declaration calls messages threatening/harassing, redirects purchase inquiries through counsel, and expressly refuses the separate purchase and loan documents. A lawyer's email is not a court order.

Words attributed to Annie versus the attached screenshots

Jun's October30 declaration, paragraph4(d), states: "Seroquel na this, plus haldol. Pinel restraints!" — "Sent by Annie Rhea Augusto." Original attachment p.9 shows Ara's "Seroquel na this, plus haldol." Original p.8 shows a separate family member's "Haldol deretso nya pinel restraints." The medication references are not invented, but the complete combined quotation and restraints attribution are not supported by the displayed speakers in those exhibits. The reason for the combination is unresolved. Paragraphs5-10 then interpret the terms as danger, malicious intent, cyberstalking and a violation. Those interpretations must not be turned into agency or judicial findings.

Correction context: Jun filed a November 4 declaration correcting the restraints attribution to a different family participant, not Annie. The separate medication attribution remained. The mistake and the correction are both part of the record; neither alone proves knowing falsity.

Agency attribution discrepancy

PCSD report 2530000948.1, printed page 5 of 6 (reproduced on page 10 of this selection), attributes "So you want to take this fight to this level? I accept" to Annie. Jun's paragraph4(a) and the attached Exhibit A label attribute it to Romeo/Meong. This is an unresolved source-attribution discrepancy; neither document alone establishes which participant introduced the error.

Fuller quotation and direction of contact

The attached October27 party packet p.6 shows Romeo's fuller text: "They just never stop. Just when you think they won't go any lower, then they go lower. They are digging their own graves. Now that they made it official that they are out to destroy us, we have something to use against them. Now it begins." The middle clause omitted from paragraph4(b) supplies adversarial/evidentiary context; it does not make the remark kind or conclusively nonthreatening. PCSD records Jun saying he had left the chat and accidentally saw it on a relative's iPad. Jun's declaration says messages were directed toward them and meant to be communicated to them. Presence in a family chat and intended indirect contact are distinct questions. No complete native October thread was located to resolve that intent.

Service, report and outcome

The October24 party filing stamp is 12:27 PM; Romeo's service return is 12:30 PM. That first filing concerns Cora relaying an October23 discussion. It is separate from the alleged 1:30 PM messages and October27 agency response. PCSD records three uploaded screenshots and advises Jun to leave the chat and avoid the iPad. Its displayed disposition is active, not final. The November4 orders deny full protection on the merits and dismiss; their addendum credits the contextual explanation of the 911 remark and the birthday child-drop-off. It also warns against further contact or lashing out. The duplicated addendum case number and unchecked abusive-litigation/entirely-without-merit boxes remain visible in S1-R/S1-A. Dismissal does not prove every allegation false. No perjury or malice finding is made here.

October30 filed declaration | original p.1

Raw SHA-256 f046f55fab61593d7308bbbd5b407bb4f34a8fd54b4a0a2d25a3c2e236e7851 | Complete substantive text for this page, retypeset; private identities/contact footer masked.

DECLARATION OF JUN

CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN

Page 1 of 4

[Counsel contact footer omitted]

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

IN AND FOR PIERCE COUNTY

JUN CHRISTOPHER MANUEL

CAPINPIN, and AILEEN GRACE

AUGUSTO CAPINPIN,

Petitioners,

vs.

ROMEO BELOCURA, JR.,

Respondent.

Cause No. 25-2-03906-0

DECLARATION OF JUN

CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN

JUN CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN declares as follows:

1.

I am over the age of 21 and am otherwise competent in all respects to testify as to the matters that follow. I am a Petitioner in this matter and husband of Aileen Grace August Capinpin. The following statements are based on my personal knowledge and observations.

2.

A temporary restraining order against Respondent (the "TRO") was entered on October 21, 2025. Respondent was served the TRO on October 24, 2025, at 12:30 PM. However, only one hour later (around 1:30 PM), Respondent, his wife, and another person not covered by the TRO ([private family member]), participated in a group chat conversation in the "Augusto Family"

E-FILED

IN COUNTY CLERK'S OFFICE

PIERCE COUNTY, WASHINGTON

October 30 2025 1:43 PM

PIERCE COUNTY CLERK

NO: 25-2-03906-0

October 30 filed declaration | original page 2

Retypeset source text, with privacy omissions marked. Not a court finding. The word Cebuano has been restored against the source image; the original filed page is not altered.

DECLARATION OF JUN CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN Page 2 of 4 [Counsel contact footer omitted]

Messenger thread that contained threatening, intimidating, and harassing messages directed toward us, and that were meant to be communicated to us. My father-in-law is in the group chat, along with other various family members, including Respondent.

3. Attached as exhibit A are screenshots dated October 24, 2025, showing the threatening and harassing messages sent in the group chat. Respondent is identified as “Meong” in the chat, and Respondent’s wife, Annie Rhea Augusto, is identified as “Ara.” As some messages are in Cebuano, which I speak and read fluently, I am able to provide unofficial translations.

4. The messages clearly show mockery, plotting, and coordinated hostility following the service of the TRO. Examples include messages such as: a. – “Eh di mas maayo! Hello Jun and Aileen!! So you want to take this fight to this level? I accept.” – Sent by Respondent. b. – “They just never stop... they are digging their own graves... now it begins.” – Sent by Respondent. c. – “Murag ‘Crime of Passion’ lang? Hahah! Bitter? Hehe.” – Sent by Respondent. d. – “Seroquel na this, plus haldol. Pinel restraints!” – Sent by Annie Rhea Augusto.

5. These remarks are derogatory, mocking, and threatening in nature. The reference to “Seroquel” and “Haldol” is highly alarming — both are powerful antipsychotic medications that can cause severe sedation, confusion, loss of consciousness, respiratory distress, and in some cases, death if misused or administered without medical supervision.

6. The mention of “Pinel restraints” — a type of medical restraint system used to immobilize patients — implies involuntary physical restraint or strapping down of a person. When

Context: Jun filed a November 4 correction attributing the restraints remark to another family participant, not Annie. His separate medication-language attribution remained. See this exhibit's cover and the current guide.

October 30 filed declaration | original page 3

Retypeset source text. Private identifying detail is visibly omitted; the allegation that minors could see the messages is retained. This is the declarant's claim, not a publication finding.

DECLARATION OF JUN CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN Page 3 of 4 [Counsel contact footer omitted]
combined with references to sedative or antipsychotic drugs, this suggests a potentially torturous or life-threatening scenario, as drugging and restraining someone against their will is both criminal and physically dangerous.

7. The combination of these terms (“Seroquel,” “Haldol,” and “Pinel restraints”) indicates a malicious intent or fantasy of forcibly controlling, drugging, or harming us. Such language cannot be interpreted as a joke given the ongoing harassment and the active protection order. It suggests a premeditated and coordinated form of hostility, possibly amounting to threats of bodily harm or violence.

8. It is also important to note that minors are included in the group chat — specifically [minor identifying details redacted] — who have also seen these threatening and harassing messages. Their exposure to such conversations containing violent and intimidating content has caused serious concern and emotional distress to our family, as these communications are highly inappropriate and psychologically harmful for minors.

9. We believe these statements constitute cyberstalking and is an intentional violation of the active TRO, which explicitly prohibits harassment, threats, intimidation, or indirect contact through any means.

10. The tone, content, and implied intent of these messages have caused us severe emotional distress, fear for our safety, and ongoing anxiety about possible escalation. We are deeply frightened of what may happen next, given the restrained individuals’ clear disregard for the TRO and the escalating hostility reflected in their communications.

Context: Jun filed a November 4 correction attributing the restraints remark to another family participant, not Annie. His separate medication-language attribution remained. See this exhibit's cover and the current guide.

October30 filed declaration | original p.4

Raw SHA-256 f046f55fab61593d7308bbbd5b407bb4f34a8fd54b4a0a2d25a3c2e236e7851 | Complete substantive text for this page, retypeset; private identities/contact footer masked.

DECLARATION OF JUN

CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN

Page 4 of 4

[Counsel contact footer omitted]

11.

I hereby certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct.

SIGNED at _____, _____ on _____.

(city)

(state) (date)

Jun Christopher Manuel Capinpin

Declaration paragraph 4

Original filed attachment p.2. Private family name, profile images or counsel contact details masked; no yellow highlighting.

1 Messenger thread that contained threatening, intimidating, and harassing messages directed toward
2 us, and that were meant to be communicated to us. My father-in-law is in the group chat, along with
3 other various family members, including Respondent.

4 3. Attached as exhibit A are screenshots dated October 24, 2025, showing the
5 threatening and harassing messages sent in the group chat. Respondent is identified as “Meong” in
6 the chat, and Respondent’s wife, Annie Rhea Augusto, is identified as “Ara.” As some messages
7 are in Cebuano, which I speak and read fluently, I am able to provide unofficial translations.
8

9 4. The messages clearly show mockery, plotting, and coordinated hostility following
10 the service of the TRO. Examples include messages such as:

- 11 a. – “Eh di mas maayo! Hello Jun and Aileen!! So you want to take this fight to this
12 level? I accept.” – Sent by Respondent.
13
14 b. – “They just never stop... they are digging their own graves... now it begins.” –
15 Sent by Respondent.
16
17 c. – “Murag ‘Crime of Passion’ lang? Hahah! Bitter? Hehe.” – Sent by Respondent.
18
19 d. – “Seroquel na this, plus haldol. Pinel restraints!” – Sent by Annie Rhea Augusto.

20 5. These remarks are derogatory, mocking, and threatening in nature. The reference to
21 “Seroquel” and “Haldol” is highly alarming — both are powerful antipsychotic medications that
22 can cause severe sedation, confusion, loss of consciousness, respiratory distress, and in some cases,
23 death if misused or administered without medical supervision.

24 6. The mention of “Pinel restraints” — a type of medical restraint system used to
25 immobilize patients — implies involuntary physical restraint or strapping down of a person. When
26


**DECLARATION OF JUN
CHRISTOPHER MANUEL CAPINPIN**

Page 2 of 4

Curiale Hostnik PLLC
315 – 39th Ave SW, Suite 9


Attached Exhibit C: separate family speaker

Original filed attachment p.8. Private family name, profile images or counsel contact details masked; no yellow highlighting.



Attached Exhibit D: Annie medication remark

Original filed attachment p.9. Private family name, profile images or counsel contact details masked; no yellow highlighting.



Agency-authored PCSD narrative | printed page 5 of 6

Incident 2530000948.1. Retypeset narrative; private residence masked. This is derivative page 10. Printed page 6 of the agency report is a separate continuation/review page.

On 10/27/2025 at 1137 hours, I was dispatched to [private residence] for a violation of a protection order. I contacted (V) Jun Capinpin by phone. Prior to contacting Jun, I contacted SS911 records and confirmed both protection orders against (S1) Romeo Belocura (#252039060) and Annie Augusto (#252039086). Both orders were confirmed and served on 10/24/2025 at 1230 hours and expire on 11/04/2025. Jun stated that the Protection order against (S1) Romeo Belocura and (S2) Annie Augusto were violated on 10/24/2025, the same day the order was served. The order was served at 1230 hours, and Jun stated the violation occurred at 1330 hours. Jun stated that there was a family group chat on Facebook that he used to be a part of but left the group chat when he went to petition for the protection order. Jun stated that his father in law came to stay with them and had left his iPad at their house. Jun stated that his Father in law went back to the Philippines. Jun stated he accidentally saw the group chat and the messages on there from Romeo and Annie. Jun told me that Romeo put in the group chat "They just never stop, they are digging their own graves". Annie stated "So you want to take this fight to this level? I accept". I advised Jun to leave the group chat on all his devices and if the iPad is his father in law's and he is still in the group chat then to avoid going on the iPad or looking at it. I sent Jun an evidence.com link and Jun submitted 3 screenshots that were triaged and uploaded to the agency cloud drive. I provided Jun with a case number regarding this incident. A Domestic Violence supplemental form was completed over the phone and attached to this report and routed to SS911 records Deputy Harmes #489. I certify (or declare) under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Washington that the foregoing is true and correct. 10/27/2025 Pierce County, WA 22-076 - Harmes, Carter Pierce County Sheriff Department Incident Report Jurisdiction Agency: Pierce County Sheriff Department Incident No. 2530000948.1 Page 5 of 6

The narrative attributes a statement to Annie; the party-submitted screenshot labels the corresponding exchange Meong. Preserve that attribution discrepancy. A report of a suspected violation is not an adjudication that a crime occurred.